



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/539,839  | 03/31/2000    | Ariel Berkovits      | 2207/6856               | 9593             |
| 75  | 90 03/25/2003 |                      |                         |                  |
| Kenyon & Kenyon 333 W San Carlos Street Suite 600 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |               |                      | PEUGH, BRIAN R          |                  |
| San Jose, CA 95110-2711                           |               |                      |                         |                  |
| , , ,   |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 2187                    | 12               |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003 | 1)               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

87

| ,  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | <del>\( \)</del>               |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Advisory Action  | 09/539,839  | BERKOVITS, ARIEL  |                                |
| ,  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                                |
|  | Brian R. Peugh  | 2187  |                                |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence addres   | s                              |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment whic   | ation. A proper reply to<br>h places the application              | a<br>n in                      |
| PERIOD FOR RI  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |                                |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  |   | in the final rejection, whiche                                    | ever is later. In              |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH   | HE FINAL REJECTION. See   |                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 ( | of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>ice later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropri<br>originally set in the final Offi | ate extension<br>ce action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |   |   |                                |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | ecause:   |   |                                |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |                                |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I   | pelow);   | ,   |                                |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application i<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simpl  | ifying the                     |
| (d)  they present additional claims without cancel   | ing a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claims.   |                                |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |                                |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>  | ion(s):   |   |                                |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed am  | endment                        |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   | reconsideration has been consi  | dered but does NOT p  | lace the                       |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were ne  | ewly                           |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |   |   | an                             |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .   |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |                                |
| 8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is   | a) approved or b) disapp  | roved by the Examiner   |                                |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | ·   |                                |
| 10. Other:   |   |   |                                |
|  |   |   |                                |
|  |   |   |                                |
|  |   |   |                                |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes the language regarding accessing valid data, which was not found previously in the claims and would require further search and/or consideration.

DO HYUN YOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

BRP